



F.No.89-143/E-161194/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Coramandal College of Education, Medikonduru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh dated 25/07/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7345/B.Ed/AP/2019/113961 dated 17.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the management of the institution had not submitted required documents in response to show cause notice (SCN) issued to the institution on 26.02.2019. Another opportunity was given to the institution by serving a final show cause notice (FSCN) dated 17.10.2019. The institution did not submit its reply/representation in response to final show cause notice (FSCN)."

AND WHEREAS Sh. G. Sumar, Academic Director, Coramandal College of Education, Medikonduru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that 1. That it is submitted that the SRC vide its order dated 17.01.2020 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified by the institution. Appellant institution submitted the application to the SRC, NCTE for starting the B.Ed. course and also submitted documents as per the NCTE Regulations. SRC, NCTE after conducting the expert visit and verifying the Appellant infrastructural and instructional facilities vide its order issued LOI and directed the appellant to get the staff approval. It is submitted that accordingly the affiliating body constituted the selection panel for the appointment of the faculties. That, accordingly, the necessary compliance was submitted by the Appellant to the SRC requesting it to issue the recognition order. That, accordingly, the SRC after scrutiny of the documents and all relevant factors granted permission vide its order dated 14.06.2007 for running the B.Ed. Course with annual intake of 100 students. It is relevant to state that the lease deed was permitted

while setting up the college. Again, the SRC after scrutiny of the documents and all relevant factors granted permission vide its order dated 21.12.2012 for running the B.Ed. Course with annual intake of 100 students. It is relevant to state that the lease deed was permitted while setting up the college. It is submitted that the NCTE issued the Revised Regulation 2014 and the revised order of recognition vide its letter dated 26.05.2015 for 100. It is submitted that the petitioner also applied to the SRC NCTE for shifting of premises. It is submitted that the SRC, NCTE thereafter decided to issue a final show cause notice to the institution for non compliance of the regulation 2014 and accordingly issued show cause notice dated 17.10.2019 however, no second show cause notice was served on institution. It is submitted that the SRC mentions a Show Cause notice dated 26.02.2019, however no show cause was received by the institution. It is submitted that the institution is presenting all the documents as are mentioned in the withdrawal order before the NCTE for its perusal. a. Certified Copy of the Original Land Documents and Certified Lease Deed. b. Approved and legible Building Plan in English. c. Approved Site Plan. d. Translated Copy and Copy of the NEC. e. Documents of the Trust/Society f. Faculty list along with qualification approved by the affiliating body. g. FDRs. Institution is again submitting the documents before this Committee as were found under deficiencies of the SRC in its withdrawal order. It is submitted that the SRC vide its order dated 16.01.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant Institution pointing out certain other point also which was not a part of show cause notice and Appellant had no opportunity to justify. There has been delay on the part of the institution in filing the appeal is because of the lockdown due to the pandemic COVID 19. Kindly condone the delay in interest of justice. 18. That it is submitted that the Appellant herein is producing all the documents before the Appeal Committee."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme in the year 2007 with an intake of 100 seats. The appellant institution was issued a revised recognition order dated 26/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014. As a pre-requisite to grant of revised recognition order under NCTE Regulation, 2014, appellant had submitted an affidavit dated 17/01/2015 undertaking that the institution will abide by NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure)

Regulation, 2014. Revised recognition order dated 26/05/2015 was subject to appellant institution's compliance of certain conditions.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution by its letter dated 07/07/2016 requested SRC to reduce the intake from 2 units to 1 unit. SRC in reply to the request made by appellant institution, asked the applicant by its letter dated 25/10/2016 to submit (i) Land deed, (ii) Change of Land Use (L.U.C.), (iii) Encumbrance Certificate (E.C.), (iv) Building Plan, (v) Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.), (vi) Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDRs), (vii) Faculty list. Appeal Committee noted that SRC subsequently issued two Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 26/02/2019 and 17/10/2019 and there has been no reply received.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution along with its appeal memoranda had submitted copies of land deed, Building Plan, B.C.C., FDRs, Form 'A' and CLU.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that although appellant institution has submitted these documents with its appeal memoranda, yet it was incumbent on part of an applicant institution to submit documents as and when asked by Regional Committee within the time limit prescribed. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit original of these documents alongwith a bank statement to prove that faculty approved by affiliating body and appointed by institution is paid salary in accordance with Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Coramandal College of Education, Medikonduru, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

- 1. The Secretary, Coramandal College of Education, 281, Medikonduru, Guntur – 522007, Andhra Pradesh.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

5



F.No.89-147/E-161267/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.K. Gupta Memorial Institute of Technology & Management, Sikandra, UPSIDC, Sadar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh dated 21/07/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-583/313th Meeting/2019/208537 dated 10.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Gaurav Kumar Singh, Manager and Dr. Ravi Saini, Principal, R.K. Gupta Memorial Institute of Technology & Management, Sikandra, UPSIDC, Sadar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "according to SOP 2nd SCN not received. Teacher approval is done in 2017 by letter no. Aff.6418/2018 dt. 19.06.2018."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has filed a W.P. (C) 5575/2020 in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi and Hon'ble Court by its order dated 26/08/2020 has allowed the petitioner to participate in the counselling and admit students for the present academic year. The direction is subject to further orders that Appellate Authority may pass. Appellate Authority is to dispose of appeal of petitioner as per law uninfluenced by any observation of the court.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 10/07/2020 of NRC is on the ground that two Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 22/03/2018 and 10/06/2019 were issued and reply dated 11/07/2019 was considered in 313th Meeting of NRC held from 25 to 27th February, 2020.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 10/07/2020 is vague and does not convey the exact deficiency which was not found to have been rectified. Perusal of the note portion signed on 03/02/2020 of relevant regulatory file reveals that (a) staff list submitted by appellant was not signed by affiliating University, (b) Bank statement of salary for last six months not submitted.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that there is a time gap of more than 4 months between decision taken by NRC on 25-27th February, 2020 and issue of impugned withdrawal order dated 10/07/2020. Appeal Committee noted that appellant in between has addressed two communications dated 04/06/2020 and 24/06/2020 enclosing therewith certain documents. Appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of (a) list of faculty approved by affiliating University in August, 2020 and (b) bank statement etc. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit original of (i) faculty list approved by affiliating University (ii) Approval letter of University, (iii) Bank statement of salary paid to faculty to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.K. Gupta Memorial Institute of Technology & Management, Sikandra, UPSIDC, Sadar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Chairman, R.K. Gupta Memorial Institute of Technology & Management, 123, Sikandra, UPSIDC, Sadar, Agra – 282007, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

6

F.No.89-148/E-161537/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ambika Ram Devi Degree College, Bardiya Kumar, Sandpur, Basti, Uttar Pradesh dated 04/08/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10408/277th Meeting/2017/185472 dated 12.12.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of SCN issued to it on 26.09.2017".

AND WHEREAS Sh. Abhishek Antervedi, Manager, Ambika Ram Devi Degree College, Bardiya Kumar, Sandpur, Basti, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "during the process which resulted into passing of the order dated 12.12.2017, the manager of the appellant institution Dr. Chandra Kumar Antervedi suffered from ulcerative colitis which is an inflammatory bowel disease. On account of the said disease Dr. Chandra Kumar Antervedi was under severe pain and mental stress and not able take care of his day to day activities. Dr. Chandra Kumar Antervedi was accordingly confined to bed as he was undergoing regular treatment at Lucknow. The said treatment continued for years and the same is still continuing. On account of regular treatment and guidance of the doctors the manager of the appellant institute Dr. Chandra Kumar Antervedi has been able to recover so as to enable him to take charge of the appellant institution. On account of regular Medical Supervision of the Doctors Manager of the appellant institute Dr. Chandra Kumar Antervedi recovered and is back to work in the capacity of Manager."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 12/12/2017 was issued on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 26/09/2017. Impugned refusal order dated 12/12/2017 in its last para contained the provision that applicant is entitled to prefer appeal against

impugned refusal order within 60 days. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution is already conducting B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats each.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the present appeal dated 04/08/2020 preferred by appellant is delayed by more than 30 months and appellant has said that Manager/Secretary of the society suffered from serious illness which resulted in delay. Appeal Committee noted that copies medical reports submitted by appellant do not contain any evidence that Manager/Secretary of the society was confined to bed or indisposed during the period appeal was required to be filed or reply to S.C.N. dated 26/09/2017 was required to be submitted. An institution which is already conducting B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. programmes cannot be treated to be dependent on a single person for preferring appeal.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is, not convinced with the reasons for delay of more than 30 months and decided not to condone the delay and admit appeal. Appellant institution is, however, at liberty to apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting application for the programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to not to condone the delay and admit appeal. Appellant institution is, however, at liberty to apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting application for the programme.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Manager, Ambika Ram Devi Degree College, 301, Bardiya Kumar, Sandpur, Harriya, Basti – 272128, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

7

F.No.89-149/E-161536/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of G.S. College of Commerce and Economics, Jabalpur, South, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 18/02/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW01396/223234/B.Ed./310th/2019/205544 dated 28.08.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has submitted photocopy of staff list 1+12, not approved by the affiliating university. The staff members at Sr. No. 7 is with less than 55% marks at P.G. level. FDRs of Rs. 5+7 lakhs, Building Completion Certificate signed by Govt. Engineer are not submitted by the institution. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sunil Kumar, Principal, G.S. College of Commerce and Economics, Jabalpur, South, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Whereas recognition was granted to our college under section 14 NCTE Act 1993 after complying with all the regulation issued from time to time, we had submitted all the documents mentioned in your order before the due date. We are once again submitting the photocopies of all the documents demanded by your office duly attested by the undersigned. With regard to the staff member at sr. no.07 with less than 55 marks we submit as follows. (a) The said candidate was duly selected by a Selection Committee constituted by the university. (b) The said candidate was working with another approved and affiliated B.Ed. College prior to joining our college on a regular basis. The candidate was allowed to join our institution only after notification of the university. After the matter being brought to our knowledge the said candidate has

finally resigned from the college. We are in the process of appointing a new faculty in the vacant position for which the correspondence is in progress with the university. As soon as the process is completed the name and position of the same will be informed to your office duly forwarded by the university. Our College is 72 years old College following the Gandhian Principles, leaded by Mr. Rahul Bajaj. We hope our matter will be treated sympathetically and our affiliation be continued.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats from 2005 and revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014. The B.Ed programme which earlier was one year course was converted into two year programme which required applicant institutions to select and appoint faculty comprising of one Principal and 15 Assistant Professors as per Appendix – 4 of the Regulation. Applicant institution was also required to increase the built-up area earmarked for the course.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution which is a composite college has failed to appoint faculty in required number despite a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 01/12/2017. Appeal Committee at the same time noted that appellant institution has on its roll a H.O.D. and 11 faculty approved by affiliating University. Appeal Committee also noted that appellant institution has submitted copies of Building Plan, FDRs, site plan etc. Appeal Committee noted that unit size of B.Ed. programme is 50 seats for which an institution is required to appoint a Principal and 7 faculty. Before issuing impugned order of withdrawal dated 28/08/2019, WRC had an option to reduce the intake from 100 to 50 seats rather than withdrawing recognition for entire 100 seats. Appellant institution is required to submit within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order a formal request, if it so desires, to WRC for reduction in the intake with the present faculty status. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made by appellant, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of G.S. College of Commerce and Economics, Jabalpur, South, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. **The Principal, G.S. College of Commerce and Economics, 89, Jabalpur, South, Jabalpur – 482001, Madhya Pradesh.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

8

F.No.89-150/E-161605/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Akshaya College of Education, Karthigaipatti, Srivilliputtur, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu dated 10/08/2020 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO9435/B.Ed./115016 dated 21.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the institution has not submitted certified copy of registered land documents. The building plan submitted by the institution having total built up area 1892.24 sq. mts. which is insufficient for 2 basic units of B.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations, Multipurpose Hall is also not adequate. The BCC submitted by the institution having total built up area 1892.24 sq. mts. which is insufficient for 2 basic units of B.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations. The institution has submitted NEC in regional language. The Registrar has approved the above appointments with a condition to appoint one staff for Performing Arts & One for Fine Arts. The faculties namely, E. Kathiravan, Mr. C. Raju, Mrs. C. Kavitha, Mr. G. Arivarasan, M. Karthikeyan, P. Selvan, Mr. K. Muthuselvam, & Mr. P. Ravi are not qualified. The institution has appointed staff as 1+13 against the requirement of 1+15 for 2 basic units of B.Ed. course which is insufficient as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. A.P. Kumar, Correspondent, Akshaya College of Education, Karthigaipatti, Srivilliputtur, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that “SRC vide its order dated 21.02.2020 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified by the institution. It is submitted that Appellant institution submitted application to the SRC, NCTE for starting the B.Ed course and also submitted documents as per the NCTE Regulations. The SRC, NCTE after conducting the expert visit and verifying the Appellant infrastructural and instructional facilities vide its order issued LOI and directed the appellant to get the staff approval, etc.

That it is submitted that accordingly the affiliating body constituted the selection panel for the appointment of the faculties. That, accordingly, the necessary compliance was submitted by the Appellant to the SRC requesting it to issue the recognition order. SRC after scrutiny of the documents and all relevant factors granted permission vide its order dated 03.02.2010 for running the B.Ed. Course. It is submitted that the NCTE issued Revised Regulation 2014 and the institution submitted its compliance of the new Regulation. That it is submitted that the SRC, NCTE issued a revised recognition order dated 31.03.2015 to the appellant institution. It is submitted that the SRC, NCTE issued the Show Cause Notice 10.10.2019 to the institution to submit the documents. It is submitted that the Show Cause notice has to be replied within 30 days from the receipt. It is submitted that the institution vide its letter dated Nil vide postal acknowledgement No. ET818906503IN dated 13.11.2019 submitted the compliances alongwith all the documents. It is submitted that surprisingly the SRC, NCTE without verifying the documents submitted by the institution, issued another show cause notice dated 22.11.2019. It is submitted that the institution vide its letter dated 28.11.2019 submitted all the documents once again to the SRC. That it is submitted that the SRC, NCTE vide its withdrawal order dated 21.02.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant institution on the grounds without giving any opportunity to the institution. That the institution is again submitting the documents before this Committee as were found under deficiencies of the SRC in its withdrawal order. That it is submitted that the SRC vide its order dated 21.02.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant Institution pointing out certain other point also which were not a part of show cause notice and Appellant had no opportunity to justify."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since 2010 with an intake of 100 seats. After grant of a conditional revised recognition order dated 31/03/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014 for 100 seats (2 units of 50 seats), the applicant institution was required to appoint additional faculty and create additional built up area in accordance with the Norms and Standards as prescribed in Appendix – 4 of the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution failed to report timely compliance and was issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 10/10/2019.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution of late, has been able to comply with the requirements and with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of (i) Land deed, (ii) Approved building plan, site plan, (iii) Building Completion Certificate (BCC) with a built up area of 2631 sq. meters, (iv) FDRs with Form 'A', (v) Encumbrance Certificate, (vi) Faculty list, (vii) C.L.U. Appeal Committee, however, observed from the list of faculty approved by TNTEU on 07/03/2019 that all the faculty approved is shown as appointed before February, 2017. It is therefore, not understood that as to why the appellant institution did not submit this list and approval letter of affiliating University to SRC in reply to SCN dated 10/10/2019.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order copies of all the documents mentioned in para 4 above. In addition, appellant institution is required to submit bank statement for the last one year as evidence of having paid salary to these faculty members in accordance with Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made by appellant, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Akshaya College of Education, Karthigaipatti, Srivilliputtur, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Akshaya College of Education, Malli, Karthigaipatti, Srivilliputtur, Virudh Nagar – 626147, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

(9)

F.No.89-151/E-157599/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.G.S. College of Physical Education, B.G. Nagara, Bellur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya, Karnataka dated 13/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO2050/B.P.Ed/KA/2019/14008 dated 17.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted BCC in prescribed format issued by the Competent Authority. The institution has not submitted approved staff list issued by the affiliating university. The institution has not submitted form A/FDR toward endowment fund and reserve fund."

AND WHEREAS Dr. C.K. Subraya, Representative, B.G.S. College of Physical Education, B.G. Nagara, Bellur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Prescribed fees for your perusal with a request to reconsider our plea for continuation of recognition of our institution. We assure you that similar lapses will never occur from our side in future. We earnestly appeal to you to grant continuation of recognition to our institution on humanitarian grounds and enable us to safeguard the interests of the students presently studying in our institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised for conducting B.P.Ed. programme since 2006 and a revised recognition order dated 20/05/2015 was issued for conducting the course with an intake of 100 seats. Appeal Committee further noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 17/01/2020 is on following three grounds:-

- (i) Applicant institution has not submitted B.C.C. in prescribed performa.
- (ii) Applicant has not submitted approved faculty list.
- (iii) Applicant has not submitted Form 'A' – FDRs.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution of late, has submitted during the appeal proceedings (i) copy of B.C.C. in prescribed performa, (ii) List of faculty approved by affiliating university and (iii) Form 'A' issued by Canara Bank.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit originals of all the above documents to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after verifying the authenticity of the documents to be submitted by appellant.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made by appellant, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after verifying the authenticity of the documents to be submitted by appellant.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of B.G.S. College of Physical Education, B.G. Nagara, Bellur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Principal, B.G.S. College of Physical Education, 29 B.G. Nagara, Bellur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, Mandya – 571448, Karnataka.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



F.No.89-445/E-140844/2019 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of College of Teacher Education (Maulana Azad National Urdu University), Bhopal City GPO, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 02/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW03553/223475/B.Ed./M.P./310th/2019/206173 dated 05.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Reply was not submitted within the stipulated time of 30 days as per the Show Cause Notice. On perusal of the reply of the institution the Committee observed that in-spite of earlier 3 opportunities to submit approved staff list for intake of two units, the institution has failed to submit the same. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS Prof. Noushad Husain, Dean Prof. Ayub Khan, Pro-vice Chancellor and Sh. Hasim Ali Sajid, Deputy Registrar, College of Teacher Education (Maulana Azad National Urdu University), Bhopal City GPO, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the withdrawal of recognition is wrong as the minutes of 310th meeting of WRC held on 19-21 August, 2019 itself reads that reply has been received on 20.3.2019. CTE Manuu Bhopal has supplied all the relevant information to NCTE in response to the show cause notice. Therefore, the grounds on which the WRC withdrew the recognition is unjustified. However, there was a shortfall of appointed teachers at CTE Bhopal as the university had sought additional teaching positions from the UGC due to increase in the duration of B.Ed. programme from one year to two year. Now the university posted/appointed services of 18 full time teachers. the UGC in its last commission meeting held on 16 October, 2019 has

approved additional teaching posts for B.Ed. programmes as per NCTE Norms meant for CTE Manuu Bhopal. Besides, the university has started a second round of recruitment of teachers for all CTES including CTE Bhopal. The issue regarding additional teaching posts for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programme was also taken up at the level of Hon'ble Human Resource Development Minister level in the meeting held on 13 June 2019. As the MHRD and UGC are fully aware about the position the withdrawal order of B.Ed. programme with 100 intake would adversely affect the university. Further all the investments made by the government of India in construction of permanent building with several crores would go waste. Therefore, the impugned order passed by the WRC is without any valid grounds and the WRC also failed to appreciate the above facts, CTE Mannu being a constituent institution established and maintained by the Manuu for the larger Urdu speaking population."


AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 29/01/2020 submitted a written request seeking another opportunity to present its case before Appeal Committee. Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Dr. M.A. Sikandar, Associate Professor and Dy. Dean Planning and Development and Prof. Md. F. Ahmed, Principal & Professor, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad presented the case of appellant university and submitted that after conversion of B.Ed. programme into 2 year B.Ed. programme, the University had requested U.G.C. to sanction additional teaching posts as per revised NCTE norms, 2014. U.G.C. has finally sanctioned additional teaching posts on 07/11/2019. The appellant university has now complied with the requirement of teaching staff. Appellant further submitted copies of (i) U.G.C. approval letter dated 07/11/2019 and (ii) List containing the names of one Principal and 15 faculty approved by Registrar, Maulana Azad National Urdu University. Appeal Committee decided that appellant University is required to submit the list of faculty to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of College of Teacher Education (Maulana Azad National Urdu University), Bhopal City GPO, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Registrar, College of Teacher Education (Maulana Azad National Urdu University), Ward No.7, MHK, ITC Campus, Bhopal City GPO, Rafiquia School Road, Huzur, Bhopal – 462001, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

12



F.No.89-449/E-141034/2019 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shivam College, Village – Katangi, Mundiwada Road, Katangi, Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh dated 03/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05520/223597/B.Ed./310th/2019/206122 dated 05.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 29/05/2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ram Charitra Mishra, Chairman, Shivam College, Village – Katangi, Mundiwada Road, Katangi, Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Institution has not received any show cause notice or letter from NCTE, WRC through email or by post. The institution was informed about show cause notice from withdrawal order. The institution already submitted all required documents to NCTE, WRC vide institution letter no. 2018 dated 26.10.2015 in respect of NCTE, WRC revised recognition order. The inspection under Section 13 was conducted by NCTE Headquarter on 17.09.2015 and at the time of inspection institution has given all required documents such as original staff profile (1- principal +15- faculties) for the session 2015-16 duly approved by the affiliating body, originally notarized (not xerox) CLU, NEC, and building completion certificate in prescribed format to visiting team. The institution has fulfilled all the requirements of NCTE Regulations 2014 before 31.10.2019. The institution again submitted all documents such as original staff profile

(1- principal +15- faculties) for the session 2015-16 duly approved by the affiliating body, originally notarized (not xerox) CLU, NEC, and building completion certificate in prescribed format with this appeal.”

AND WHEREAS the appellant, in the course of presentation, in their letter dt. 30/01/2020, requested another opportunity to submit documents. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case. Appeal Committee noted that appellant had used the time of second opportunity in getting relief from the court to participate in counselling for 2020-21.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ajay Kumar Mishra, Accountant, appeared before Appellate Authority on 03/09/2020 and on behalf of appellant institution and submitted copy of a order dated 24/08/2020 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P. (C) 5584/2020. Hon'ble Court by its order dated 24/08/2020 has allowed the petitioner to participate in the counselling for the current academic session 2020-21. Hon'ble High Court has further directed the Appellate Authority to deal with the appeal filed by petitioner uninfluenced by the observation of court and as per law.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution having been allowed to participate in the counselling for the year 2020-21, WRC has now ample time and opportunity to re-assess the instructional and infrastructural facilities available with appellant institution. On perusal of the regulatory file, Appeal Committee noted that appellant at the time of seeking recognition submitted a building plan of proposed college building at Khasra No. 170/6, 171/6, 172/6, Ward No. 11, Khata No. 1, District – Balaghat. The building plan submitted was for a three storeyed building (Ground +2) with proposed built up area of G. Floor 6180 + F. Floor 6180 + Second Floor 5480 Sq. Feet (Total 17840 Sq. Feet). Appellant submitted affidavit by its letter dated 12/05/2008 in which built up area was stated to be 1658 sq. meters which is same as per Building Plan. Few photographs available on regulatory file show construction of only ground + First Floor and roofing of first floor is sheet roofing i.e. temporary.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that initial recognition order was issued to appellant institution on 29/12/2008 for an intake of 100 seats (one unit) and revised recognition order under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was issued on 27/05/2015 for 100 seats (2 units of 50 seats each). The duration of B.Ed. programme having been increased from one to two years, the institutions conducting B.Ed. programme with same intake of seats were required to appoint additional faculty and provide for additional built up area as per Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution, of its own, was required to submit to WRC, in compliance of the revised recognition order dated 27/05/2015, a compliance report covering all the terms and conditions of revised recognition order. Appeal Committee noted that there is no evidence on regulatory file to suggest that appellant institution ever submitted compliance as envisaged in (i) the conditional revised recognition order dated 27/05/2015 or (ii) Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 29/05/2017.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee keeping in view that appellant institution is a composite institution conducting B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programmes is required to submit to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order approved copies of (i) Land documents, (ii) Latest building plan, (iii) Building Completion Certificate, (iii) Non Encumbrance Certificate, (iv) CLU, (v) FDRs, (vi) List of faculty (separate for B.Ed. & D.El.Ed.) approved by affiliating body and (vii) complete record of salary paid into the bank accounts of faculty for the last two academic years 2018-2019 and 2019-20 certified by concerned bank. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter keeping in view that appellant is a composite institution and requires adequate built up area for two programmes with intake sanctioned and academic faculty as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. WRC before passing appropriate order, which shall be reasoned and speaking, should grant appellant institution an opportunity to submit written representation.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter keeping in view that appellant is a composite institution and requires adequate built up area for two programmes with intake sanctioned and academic faculty as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. WRC before passing appropriate order, which shall be reasoned and speaking, should grant appellant institution an opportunity to submit written representation.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shivam College, Village – Katangi, Mundiwada Road, Katangi, Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Shivam College, Village – Katangi, Mundiwada Road, Katangi, Balaghat – 481445, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

13

F.No.89-459/E-141938/2019 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 13/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.K. D.Ed. College, Imiliya, Joura Road, Joura, Morena, Madhya Pradesh dated 11/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/APW05772/222293/309th/D.El.Ed./2019/205267 dated 08.08.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 01.07.2016. The reply of the Show Cause Notice of the institution has not been received. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for D.El.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

AND WHEREAS No one from, B.K. D.Ed. College, Imiliya, Joura Road, Joura, Morena, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30/01/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "i) the impugned order is contrary to law in as much as the withdrawal of same on the non-existent ground is nothing but without application of mind. ii) That, it is mentioned here that without proving proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner institute the NCTE has acted without application of mind which runs contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court of India reported in 1997 Vol. 7 SCC 622 (23) so also contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court of India reported in 2013 Vol. 1 SCC 403. iii) That, earlier when recognition was granted to the petitioner institute same was in rented premises there after society has purchase the land for establishment of own building of institute and vide registered sale deed no. 2715 and therefore with due permission of all the concerning authority building of institute constructed as per the NCTE Norms in which petitioner incurred huge expenses. iv) That, petitioner institute after completion of own building same has to be shifted therefore, institute has applied the same for shifting the institute in own building vide dated 24-05-2012 and therefore, application of shifting was submitted before the authority on 24-05-

2012. v) That, when petitioner institute has shifted his institute in their own building on 24-05-2012 thereafter as per allegation leveled by the respondents they have issued one deficiency letter on 09-07-2012 by WRC BHOPAL but the fact remains that aforesaid show cause notice 09-07-2012 was ever not received by the institute therefore no occasion arises to file the reply of aforesaid show cause notice. vi) That, the matter was considered by the WRC BHOPAL in its 255 meeting held on 22-24 June 2016 in aforesaid meeting it was decided dated deficiency letter dated 09-07-2012 no reply received from the petitioner institute therefore show cause notice was issued however again show cause notice was not received to the petitioner institute. vii) That, it is state here that show cause notice dated 01-07-2016 as well as deficiency letter dated 09-07-2012 was ever not received by the petitioner institute. Therefore, petitioner institute have no occasion to file the reply of the aforesaid deficiency letter as well as Show Cause Notice before the respondent authority. viii) That, when petitioner institute has not submitted the composite plan vide dt. 30-05-2015 then there is no question arising for admitting the case of the petitioner institute. However, petitioner institute has also cured the aforesaid deficiency and even tough before rejecting the case of the petitioner institute further notice was not issued to the petitioner institute." The appellant, in their letter dt. 30/01/2020, requested another opportunity as their Secretary, who was authorised to attend the hearing was reported unwell. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vikas Singh Yadav, President and Sh. Prem Pal Singh Yadav, Secretary appeared before Appellate Authority on 03/09/2020 and re-iterated to what is earlier submitted in the appeal memoranda. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised to conduct D.El.Ed. programme since 2009. Appeal Committee further noted from the regulatory file that initial address of applicant institution as mentioned in the form of application was : Panch Mukhi Hanuman Gali, Purana Jaura, Dist.- Morena, Madhya Pradesh. Applicant after grant of recognition submitted a letter dated 24/05/2012 seeking change in the address of applicant institution. Appeal Committee noted that WRC by its letter dated 09/07/2012 sought from applicant institution information on certain points for grant of permission for shifting. Further Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 01/07/2016 and 22/09/2017 were issued to applicant institution.


Appeal Committee noted that appellant in its appeal memoranda has denied having received the SCNs.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted from the communications available on relevant regulatory file that appellant institution has sent a number of communications to WRC and WRC has also issued Show Cause Notice (SCNs) addressed to institution at the address mentioned on the letter head of society. Appellant should be well aware of the regulatory provisions which require inspection and consequent suitability of the instructional and infrastructural facilities for conducting the course. Institution as such was not supposed to shift without seeking prior approval of Regional Committee. Onus lies on applicant to have made regular enquiries with the Regional Committee to know further developments and progress of its case relating to permission for shifting. Appeal Committee considers that no teacher education institution can be allowed to conduct the programmes from a place which was not inspected and declared conducive for conducting the programme. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 08/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 08/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Manager, B.K. D.Ed. College, 660, Imiliya, Joura Road, Joura, Morena – 476221, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-145/E-161198/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

F.No.89-146/E-161198/2020 Appeal/19th Mtg.-2020/3rd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 14/09/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of V.S. Isaac College of Education, Attupakkam, Manjambadi Rly Gate, Arakkonam, Vellore, Tamil Nadu dated 01/02/2020 is against the Order No. SRC / NCTE /APSO1144B.Ed/112401/SRC/NCTE/APSO9141B.Ed-I/SRC/NCTE/APSO6047/M.Ed dated 04.12.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed., B.Ed. & B.Ed. (AI) Course on the grounds that "the institution had submitted photocopy of FDRs attached with 'Form A' issued by the Karur Vysya Bank of Rs. 5 Lakhs, Rs. 3 Lakhs and Rs. 3 Lakhs. FDRs for B.Ed. and D.T.Ed. course not submitted. Photocopy of staff list was submitted for B.Ed. and M.Ed. course which was not approved by the Registrar of the affiliating body as per the NCTE Regulations. The institute had only submitted a photocopy of letter dt. 25.07.2012 issued by the TTEU regarding approval of 11 faculty members in B.Ed. course out of which C, Jayaseeli, S. Prema, K. Vijayalakshmi, J. Valarmathi and T. Pandiyan are not qualified. Further approval of faculty for M.Ed. and D.T.Ed. courses have not been submitted. The institution submitted in Regional Language. The institution submitted a photocopy of notarized copy of Land Use Certificate in Regional Language. The institution has submitted copy of Sale Deed in favour of S. Isaac Jabakumar, S/o Mr. J. Selvadurai. The institute did not submit originally certified copy of land documents. Further, the land is in the name of an individual."

AND WHEREAS Sh. T. Gowda and Sh. Rajkumar, Representative, V.S. Isaac College of Education, Attupakkam, Manjambadi Rly Gate, Arakkonam, Vellore, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "we submitted faculty details along with their supporting documents. Sale Deed individual name has been changed. Now

we are submitting FDRs for B.Ed. and M.Ed. I Humbly request you to accept our appeal and cancel the withdrawal order in order to admit the students for the upcoming years please do the needful thanking you."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee was apprised by the Regional Director, SRC vide its letter No. SRC/NCTE/Legal-APSO9141/B.Ed.-AI/117601 dated 04.9.2020 that appellant institution filed a Writ Petition No. 11914 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and the matter was listed for hearing on 03.9.2020. The Hon'ble High has adjourned the matter by one week, with the direction to report to the Court about the outcome of appeal. Appeal Committee is not apprised of the prayer made by appellant before the Hon'ble High Court.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is a composite institution conducting the following teacher education courses:-

Sl. No.	Name of the Course	Intake
(i)	M.Ed.	50
(ii)	B.Ed. and B.Ed. (AI)	100+100
(iii)	D.El.Ed. and D.El.Ed. (AI)	50+50

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution was granted basic intake of 100 seats in B.Ed. course in the year 2005. The basic intake was granted to the appellant institution at the following address:-

171, Kannam Nagar, Winterpet, Arakkonam-631005, Vellore District, Tamilnadu

Additional intake of 100 seats in B.Ed. course was granted to Appellant Institution vide Order No. SRO/NCTE/B.Ed-AI/2008-09 dated 22/08/2008 at the following Address:-

No. 126, Attupakkam Post, Manjampadi Railway Gate, Arakkonam Taluk, Vellore District – 631056, Tamilnadu

Recognition for M.Ed. course was granted to appellant institution vide order no. SRO/NCTE/M.Ed./2006-07/13911 dated 01/11/2007 at the No. 126, Attupakkam Post, Manjampadi Railway Gate, Arakkonam Taluk, Vellore District – 631056, Tamilnadu.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that SRC never granted formal approval to the Appellant institution to shift the basic intake of B.Ed. course to be amalgamated at the address where additional intake was granted. Appeal Committee noted that SRC has issued only one order dated 04/12/2019 withdrawing M.Ed., B.Ed. and B.Ed. (Addl.) course whereas recognition was granted for these courses by separate orders. Appeal Committee observed that SRC ought to have issued separate Show Cause Notice (SCNs) on respective regulatory files and examined the issue relating to availability of faculty for M.Ed. and B.Ed. courses.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the impugned withdrawal order dated 04/12/2019 is on the grounds that appellant institution has not submitted originals of the (i) FDRs, (ii) list of faculty. Further, the appellant institution has not submitted English Translation of Land Use Certificate and land deed documents.

AND WHEREAS during the course of online presentation of appeal, the appellant undertook to submit the required documents. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted the following documents online in respect of B.Ed. course:-

Sl. No.	Letter No. of the University	Course	Nos. of Academic faculty excluding Principal
(i)	Approval letter dated 30/09/2019 issued by Tamilnadu Teachers Education University (TTEU)	M.Ed. course	10
(ii)	Approval letter dated 30/09/2019 issues by TTEU	B.Ed. Course	17

(iii)	Approval letter dated 30/09/2019 issues by TTEU	B.Ed. Additional Course	17
-------	---	-------------------------------	----

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Clause 7 (13) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 provide that the institution shall submit the list of faculty as approved by the affiliating body to the Regional Committee. Further, Clause 7 (16) of the Regulations provide that the letter granting approval for the selection or appointment of faculty shall also be provided by the institution to the Regional Committee. Clause 10 (2) of the NCTE Regulations provide that Academic and other staff of the institution shall be paid salary by A/c payee cheque or as per advice into the bank account of the employee specially opened for the purpose.

AND WHEREAS the impugned withdrawal order is on the ground that appellant institution has submitted photocopy of the staff list whereas the original list of faculty as approved by the affiliating University was required to be submitted to the Regional Committee. Similarly, FDRs in original are required to be submitted to the Regional Committee for verification. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution has failed to submit originals of the above documents to the Regional Committee. Further, Appeal Committee is of the opinion that appellant institution ought to have obtained formal permission of SRC for shifting of basic intake of B.Ed. course (100 seats) to a new place where Additional intake was granted.

M.Ed. Course

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that in respect of M.Ed. course, appellant institution had submitted a list of faculty in original to the office of SRC but this list was not found approved/certified by the affiliating university.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noting that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. course since 2005 and the issue of ownership of land is to be looked into keeping

in view the relevant provisions of the NCTE Regulation prevalent at that particular time, decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is also required to submit to SRC (i) Original list of faculty in prescribed format approved by affiliating university (ii) Original letter of the affiliating University for approving the faculty (iii) FDRs and (iv) Originally certified land document & other related land documents viz. notarised copy of C.L.U. and Non-encumbrance Certificate etc.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back to SRC for revisiting the matter for both M.Ed., B.Ed. & B.Ed. (AI) courses. Appellant institution is also required to submit to SRC (i) Original list of faculty in prescribed format approved by affiliating university, (ii) Original letter of the affiliating University for approving the faculty (iii) FDRs and (iv) Originally certified land document & other related land documents viz. notarised copy of C.L.U. and Non-encumbrance Certificate etc.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of V.S. Isaac College of Education, Attupakkam, Manjambadi Rly Gate, Arakkonam, Vellore, Tamil Nadu for both M.Ed., B.Ed. & B.Ed. (AI) courses to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

- 93194 1. The Chairman, V.S. Isaac College of Education, 126, Attupakkam, Manjambadi Rly Gate, Arakkonam, Vellore – 631051, Tamil Nadu.
- 195 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 196 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 197 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.